Tetrahedron Letters 51 (2010) 153–156

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404039)

# Tetrahedron Letters





## Air-stable hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate as effective and reusable catalyst for the allylation of aldehyde with tetraallyltin

Xiaowen Zhang <sup>a,b</sup>, Renhua Qiu <sup>a</sup>, Nianyuan Tan <sup>a</sup>, Shuangfeng Yin <sup>a,</sup>\*, Jun Xia <sup>a</sup>, Shenglian Luo <sup>a</sup>, Chak-Tong Au<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, PR China <sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Use of Hunan Province, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, PR China <sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, PR China

### article info

Article history: Received 21 September 2009 Revised 20 October 2009 Accepted 22 October 2009 Available online 27 October 2009

Keywords: Organobismuth Tetrafluoroborate Lewis acid Catalysis Allylation

Bismuth compounds are not toxic and relatively cheap, and have been widely used in catalysis and organic synthesis. In the past two decades, bismuth(III) compounds (e.g., BiCl<sub>3</sub>, BiBr<sub>3</sub>, Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, and  $Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)$  have been used as catalysts in various organic reactions.<sup>1,2</sup> However, up till now, there are not that many reports on the use of organobismuth compounds as catalysts in organic synthesis, possi-bly due to the unstable nature of bismuth compounds.<sup>[3](#page-2-0)</sup> With environmental concerns and 'green reagents' in mind, we have been synthesizing stable bismuth compounds for potential uses. For example, organobismuth oxide, hydroxide, methoxide, and bismuth compounds bearing a sulfur-bridged bis(phenolato) ligand were synthesized and found to be good reagents and catalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ chemical fixation.[4](#page-2-0) Very recently we reported that certain organobismuth chlorides and their triphenylgermylpropionate derivatives show good in vitro antiproliferative activity,<sup>[5](#page-2-0)</sup> and that organobismuth perfluorooctanesulfonate and perchlorate exhibit high catalytic efficiency toward one-pot Mannich-type reaction of ketones with aromatic aldehydes and aromatic amines in water.<sup>[6](#page-2-0)</sup> We have also developed a series of novel Lewis acids by incorporating large electron-withdrawing groups (e.g.,  $-C_6F_5SO_3$  and  $-C_8F_{17}SO_3$ ) into organometallic (e.g., Ti, Zr, and Hf) compounds, and found that they are catalytically active toward many organic reactions in aqueous media as well as in various organic solvents.<sup>[7](#page-3-0)</sup> It is know that the Le-

### **ABSTRACT**

Air-stable hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate  $(C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiBF_4)$  was synthesized and characterized by spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic techniques. The compound shows good catalytic efficiency in the allylation reaction of different aldehydes with tetraallyltin in a medium of aqueous methanol, giving the corresponding homoallylic alcohols in excellent chemoselectivity and yields. - 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

> wis acid-catalyzed allylation of carbonyl compounds to produce homoallylic alcohols is a versatile organic reaction.<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup> The allylation reaction can be promoted by the addition of a Lewis acid<sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> or en-hanced by the rise of reaction temperature or pressure.<sup>[10](#page-3-0)</sup> On the other hand, there is a growing body of evidence that under certain circumstances, the solvent (THF–HCl $^{8e}$  or methanol<sup>11</sup>) and ionic liquids<sup>12</sup> can facilitate allyl transfer from tetraallylstannane to alkanals or alkanones.

> In this Letter, we report the synthesis and characterization of an air-stable compound, viz. hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate and its use in the allylation of carbonyl compounds with tetraallyltin in aqueous media.

> Scheme 1 shows the synthesis of hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate 1. Treatment of  $C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiCl$



Scheme 1. Synthesis of compound 1.

Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 731 88821310. E-mail address: [sfyin73@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:sfyin73@yahoo.com.cn) (S. Yin).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.10.104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.10.104)

with silver tetrafluoroborate (AgBF<sub>4</sub>) (1 equiv) in THF yields the target complex.<sup>[13](#page-3-0)</sup> The crystal structure of compound 1 was confirmed by X-ray analysis technique (for analysis condition, please see Ref. [13](#page-3-0)). An ORTEP representation of the structure of compound 1, as well as selected bonds and angles are shown in Figure 1. One can see that the central bismuth-containing part shows a pseudotrigonal bipyramidal (TBP) structure, where both the  $C(1)$  and  $C(8)$ atoms exist in the equatorial position of the TBP structure along with a lone electron pair of bismuth, and the  $N(1)$  and  $F(1)$  atoms are at the apical positions. The  $N(1)$ –Bi–F(1) bond angle is 153.9(3)° while the C(1)–Bi–C(8) angle is 94.1(3)°. The Bi–C(1) and Bi–C(8) distance is  $2.230(11)$  Å and  $2.229(10)$  Å, respectively. As reported previously, the Bi–N coordination distance in 5,6,7,12-tetrahydrodibenz $[c, f]]$ 1,5]azabismocines flexibly changes in response to the electronic nature of bismuth.<sup>14</sup> The Bi–F bond is 2.502(7)Å in length, longer than that  $(2.190(4)$ Å) in <sup>t</sup>BuN  $(\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_2\text{BiF}^{14a}$  and that  $(2.088(8)\text{Å})$  in  $\text{Ph}_4\text{BiF}_4$ ,<sup>[15](#page-3-0)</sup> but shorter than the Bi $\cdot\cdot$ F distance in [<sup>t</sup>BuN(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Bi]<sup>+</sup>[B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>  $(2.971(2)$ Å).<sup>14b</sup> The organobismuth tetrafluoroborate 1 remained as dry crystals and suffered no color change in a test of one year in air. It is apparent that it is resistant to moisture and oxygen. Attached to the Bi atom of compound 1 is a strongly electron-withdrawing tetrafluoroborate ( $BF<sub>4</sub>$ ), and compound 1 shows Lewis acid strength of  $3.3 < H_0 \le 4.8$ , stronger than that of  $(C_6H_{11}N)$  $(CH_2C_6H_4)_2$  Bi $(OSO_2C_8F_{17})$ .<sup>6b</sup>

In addition, compound 1 is highly soluble in methanol and in aqueous solutions of common polar organic molecules. The thermal stability of compound 1 was investigated by TG–DSC analysis under  $N_2$  atmosphere (Fig. 2). One can see that the material is stable up to about 259  $\degree$ C. With all these positive features in mind, we proceeded to evaluate compound 1 as a Lewis acid catalyst for the allylation of aldehydes and ketones with tetraallyltin.<sup>[16](#page-3-0)</sup>



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of  $C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiBF_4$  (50% probability level). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ( $\circ$ ): Bi–C(1) 2.230 (11); Bi–C(8) 2.229 (10); Bi– N(1) 2.394 (8); Bi–F(1) 2.502 (7); N(1)–C(14) 1.512 (10); N(1)–C(7) 1.506 (12); N(1)–C(15) 1.495 (12); F(1)–B(1) 1.35 (2); C(1)–Bi–C(8) 94.1 (3); C(1)–Bi–N(1) 75.1 (3); C(8)–Bi–N(1) 77.7 (3); C(1)–Bi–F(1) 85.8 (3); C(8)–Bi–F(1) 86.2 (3); N(1)–Bi– F(1) 153.9 (3); B(1)–F(1)–Bi 137.8 (11); Bi–N(1)–C(15) 110.5 (6).



Figure 2. TG–DSC curves of compound 1.

In most cases of the utilization of metal reagents, the allylation reactions have to be conducted under dry condition and/or in an inert atmosphere. It is not so in the handling of tetraallyltin. Another reason for adopting tetraallyltin for allylation in this study is that the substance is inexpensive and readily available. The reaction of tetraallyltin with benzaldehyde using 4 mol % of  $C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)$ <sub>2</sub>BiBF<sub>4</sub> was examined in various solvents in a period of 1 h (Table 1). One can see that the reaction occurs smoothly in solvents such as MeOH,  $C_2H_5OH$ , CH<sub>3</sub>CN, DMSO, THF, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entries 1, 2–4, and 7–11), but slowly in hexane and toluene (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). In view of the facts that (i) methanol is relatively cheap, (ii) the effect of using water is positive (Table 1, entries 2–6), and (iii) the catalyst shows good air-tolerance, we conducted the reaction in aqueous MeOH solution. It was found that compound 1 is an excellent catalyst for the formation of 1-phenyl-3-buten-1-ol. After the usual work-up procedure, the yield of 1-phenyl-3-buten-1-ol can be as high as 97%.

In order to demonstrate the excellent catalytic activity of 1, aliphatic aldehyde as well as aromatic aldehydes with electrondonating and electron-withdrawing groups was examined [\(Table](#page-2-0) [2](#page-2-0)). Allylation of both aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes at ca. 30 °C in the presence of 4 mol % of the compound 1 proceeds smoothly to generate the corresponding homoallyl alcohols 2 in good to excellent yields. It is interesting to note that the aryl alde-

<u>이</u> 어린 아

Table 1 Allylation of PhCHO with tetraallyltin catalyzed by 1 in various solvents<sup>a</sup>



PhCHO 1.0 mmol, tetraallyltin 0.3 mmol, 1, 0.04 mmol, rt, 2.0 mL solvent. **b** Isolated yield.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2

Synthesis of homoallyl alcohols from aldehydes catalyzed by  $1<sup>a</sup>$ 





8  $C_7H_{15}CHO$  **2h** 1 95<br>9 PhCH<sub>2</sub>CHO **2i** 1 98 9 PhCH<sub>2</sub>CHO **2i** 1 98<br>10 Furan-2-carbaldehyde **2i** 1 90 Furan-2-carbaldehyde 2i 1

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

hydes with electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups show similar allylation rate, suggesting that the presence of the electron-withdrawing or electron-donating group of the aldehydes has little effect on the reaction.

In Table 3, the catalytic performance of compound 1 is compared with those of the other bismuth compounds. After a blank run of 12 h, the isolated yield of homoallyl alcohol is only 30%. When  $C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi(BF_4)$  and  $[S(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi(OH_2)]^+$  $\lbrack CIO_4 \rbrack^-$  are used as catalysts, the yields are above 90% after 1 h.

The catalytic activity can be ranked in the order of  $C_6$  $H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi(BF_4) > [S(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi(OH_2)]^+[ClO_4]^{-} > C_6H_{11}N$  $(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi[OCO(CH_2)_2GePh_3] > C_6H_5N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi[OCO(CH_2)_2$  $GePh_3$  > <sup>t</sup>BuN(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Bi[OCO(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>GePh<sub>3</sub>] > C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $BiCl > S(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiCl > Bi(OSO_2CF_3)_3 > BiCl_3$ . The poor catalytic activity of  $Bi(OSO_2CF_3)$ <sub>3</sub> and  $BiCl_3$  could be attributed to the high sensitivity of the compounds toward moisture.

To examine the reusability of the catalyst, compound 1 was subject to cycles of allylation reaction of benzaldehyde with tetraallyltin. In a test of five cycles, the change of product yield is minimal (isolated yield slightly declined from 96% to 94%), indicating that the catalyst is stable and reusable (details will be reported in a full paper).

Furthermore, we observed no formation of homoallylic alcohol in the case of acetophenone even after prolonged period of reaction (not shown). In other words, high chemoselectivity between aldehydes and ketones is possible in this approach of catalytic allyla-

#### Table 3

Catalytic activity of different bismuth compounds in the allylation of PhCHO with tetraallyltin

Entry	Catalyst (4 mol %)	Time (h)	Yield $\mathbf{b}$ (%)
	No Cat.	12	30
2	$C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiCl$	4	80
3	$C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiBF_4(1)$		96
4	$[S(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi(OH_2)]$ <sup>+</sup> $[ClO_4]$ <sup>-</sup>		94
5	$C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi[OCO(CH_2)_2GePh_3]$	4	88
6	$C_6H_5N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2Bi[OCO(CH_2)_2GePh_3]$	4	85
7	${}^{t}$ BuN(CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Bi[OCO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> GePh <sub>3</sub> ]	4	75
8	$Bi(OSO2CF3)3$	3	50
9	$S(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiCl$	4	77
10	BiCl <sub>3</sub>	3	68

<sup>a</sup> PhCHO 1.0 mmol, tetraallyltin 0.3 mmol, 1 0.04 mmol, rt, 2 mL solvent (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/  $H<sub>2</sub>O = 9:1$ ).

Isolated yield.



Scheme 2. Possible catalytic mechanism for the allylation of aldehyde catalyzed by compound 1.

tion. Such degree of chemoselectivity is impossible with the commonly used allylating reagents such as allylmagnesium bromide, allyllithium, and allyltributyltin/BF<sub>3</sub>.OEt<sub>2</sub>.<sup>8e</sup> It is apparent that compared to the catalysts reported in the literatures, $11d,12$ compound 1 has the advantages of being high in activity, selectiv-ity, stability, and reusability.<sup>[17](#page-3-0)</sup>

According to the concepts suggested by Cokley et al.<sup>11b</sup> and Lingaiah et al.,<sup>18</sup> and based on the experimental data reported so far, the mechanism of the allylation reaction over compound 1 in aqueous methanol solution is postulated (Scheme 2). With compound 1, tetraallyltin and an aldehyde dissolved in aqueous methanol, the aldehyde coordinates with the bismuth atom and is activated. Then tetraallyltin attacks the activated aldehyde to form a six-membered intermediate. Meanwhile methanol and water coordinate to tin to form  $(RO)_nSn(CH_2-CH=CH_2)_{4-n}$   $(n = 1-4;$  $R = H$  or CH<sub>3</sub>), producing the homoallyl alcohol. With the cleavage of the coordinate bond, compound 1 is regenerated and is ready for the next catalytic cycle.

In summary, we have synthesized and characterized air-stable hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate as an efficient Lewis-acidity catalyst that shows high activity and good selectivity to homoallyl alcohols in the allylation of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes with tetraallyltin in aqueous methanol.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20507005 and 20873038) and the National 863 Program of China (2009AA05Z319). C.-T. Au thanks the Hunan University for an adjunct professorship.

#### References and notes

- 1. (a) Hisashi, Y.. Lewis Acids in Organic Synthesis. In Vols. 1–2; Wiley-VCH, 2000; (b) Suzuki, H.; Matano, Y. Organobismuth Chemistry; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2001 and references cited therein.
- 2. (a) Gaspard-Iloughmane, H.; Le Roux, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 12, 2517; (b) Hua, R. M. Curr. Org. Synth. 2008, 5, 1.
- 3. Desmurs, J. R.; Labrouillêre, M.; Le Roux, C.; Gaspard, H.; Laporterie, A.; Dubac, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8871.
- 4. (a) Yin, S.; Shimada, S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1136; (b) Yin, S.; Maruyama, J.; Yamashita, T.; Shimada, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6590.
- 5. Zhang, X.; Xia, J.; Yan, H.; Luo, S.; Yin, S.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, W.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 3019.
- 6. (a) Qiu, R.; Yin, S.; Zhang, X.; Xia, J.; Xu, X.; Luo, S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4759; (b) Zhang, X.; Yin, S.; Qiu, R.; Xia, J.; Dai, W.; Yu, Z.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, W.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 3559.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>7. (a) Qiu, R.; Xu, X.; Li, Y.; Zhang, G.; Shao, L.; An, D.; Yin, S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1679; (b) Qiu, R.; Zhang, G.; Zhu, Y.; Xu, X.; Shao, L.; Li, Y.; An, D.; Yin, S. Chem.- Eur. J. 2009, 15, 6488; (c) Qiu, R.; Zhu, Y.; Xu, X.; Li, Y.; Shao, L.; Ren, X.; Cai, X.; An, D.; Yin, S. Catal. Commun. 2009, 10, 1889.
- 8. (a) Li, C. J.; Chan, T. H. Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1997; (b) Ramachandran, P. V.; Burghardt, T. E. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 1397; (c) Nagayama, S.; Kobayashi, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 567; (d) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207; (e) Yanagisawa, A.; Inoue, H.; Morodome, M.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10356; (f) Sabitha, G.; Padmaja, P.; Yadav, J. S. Helv. Chim. Acta 2008, 91, 2235.
- 9. (a) Marshall, J. A. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 31; (b) Minakata, S.; Komatsu, M. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 711; (c) Nagarapu, L.; Paturi, G.; Apuri, S.; Bantu, R.; Bhavanthula, R. Synth. Commun. 2009, 39, 355.
- 10. Kwiatkowski, P.; Chaladaj, W.; Jurczak Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5116.
- 11. (a) Cokley, T. M.; Marshall, R. L.; McCluskey, A.; Young, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1905; (b) Cokley, T. M.; Harvey, P. J.; Marshall, R. L.; McCluskey, A.; Young, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1961; (c) McCluskey, A. Green Chem. 1999, 167; (d) McCluskey, A.; Muderawan, I. W.; Muntari; Young, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7811.
- 12. (a) Gordon, C. M.; Ritchie, C. Green Chem. 2002, 4, 124; (b) Law, M. C.; Wong, K.-Y.; Chan, T. H. Green Chem. 2002, 4, 161.
- 13. Synthesis of compound 1:  $C_6H_{11}N(CH_2C_6H_4)_2BiCl$  (2.61 g, 5.0 mmol) was dissolved in 90.0 mL THF, then a solution of AgBF<sub>4</sub> (0.97 g, 5.0 mmol) in 60.0 mL THF was added. After the mixture was stirred in the dark at room temperature for 3 h, it was filtered. The filtrate mixed with 10.0 mL hexane was refrigerated for 24 h, giving colorless crystals (2.74 g, 95.6%). Compound  $1:$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  1.19 (1H, td, J = 13.6 Hz), 1.33-1.44 (2H, m),  $1.54-1.63$  (3H, m),  $1.84$  (2H, d,  $J = 11.2$  Hz),  $2.16$  (2H, d,  $J = 12.0$  Hz),  $3.50$  $(1H, t, J = 12.0 Hz)$ , 4.67 (2H, d, J = 15.6 Hz), 5.02 (2H, d, J = 15.6 Hz), 7.42 (2H, td,  $J = 7.6$  Hz),  $7.58$  (2H, t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz),  $7.76$  (2H, d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), and 8.09 (2H, d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR (acetone-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  25.89, 26.16, 26.29, 32.03, 65.07, 67.75, 68.06, 129.28, 129.55, 131.58, 137.77, and 154.44; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (acetone-d<sub>6</sub>, 376 MHz):  $-154.437(s)$ ; HRMS calcd for  $C_{26}H_{35}BBiF_4N$ : 657.3419, found: 657.3414; Crystallographic data for **1**:  $C_6H_{11}N(C_6H_4 CH_2)_2BiBF_4 \cdot C_6H_{12}$ , colorless prism, formula weight 657.34, monoclinic,  $P2(1)/n$ ,  $a = 10.9011(12)$ ,  $b = 16.0649$ (18),  $c = 14.2625$  (15),  $V = 2457.3(5)$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.777$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.069$ ,  $R_1 = 0.056$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.135$ , GOF = 0.86, CCDC No. 746982.
- 14. (a) Shimada, S.; Yamazaki, O.; Tanaka, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3012; (b) Bao, M.; Hayashi, T.; Shimada, S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1816.
- 15. Ooi, T.; Goto, R.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10494.
- 16. Typical procedure for the allylation reaction: To a solution of 1 (0.04 mmol, 23.9 mg) in 2.0 mL solvent (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O = 9:1), PhCHO (106.1 mg, 1 mmol), and tetraallylstannane (0.3 mmol, 84.9 mg) were added. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature and subject to TLC analysis for 1 h. The resulted solution was subject to evaporation and the residue was dissolved in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ (20 mL). The catalyst was precipitated and was filtered out, and could be immediately reused in the next reaction. The organic layer was mixed with methanol and 2 N HCl (1 mL) and stirred for 15 min, and then NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10%)

was added for neutralization. After filtration, the aqueous layer was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (10 mL  $\times$  3), and the organic layers were combined and washed with brine, then dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The resulted solution was subject to evaporation, whereas the residue was subject to silica gel column chromatograph (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/8). The collected colorless oil showed an isolated yield of 142.0 mg, 96%. All aldehydes, acetophenone, and tetraallyltin are commercially available. All homoallylic alcohols 2a–j have been reported, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra data of the products are consistent with our previous results (see Refs. 7a,b) and those of Jiang et al. and Zhao and Cai (see Refs. 19a,b).

1-Phenyl-3-buten-1-ol (2a): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  2.01 (1H, br s),  $2.49 - 2.58$  (2H, m),  $4.75$  (1H, t,  $J = 5.42$  Hz),  $5.14 - 5.20$  (2H, m),  $5.82$  (1H, m), 7.25–7.43 (5H, m).

2-Methylhex-5-en-3-ol (2b): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  0.91 (6H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.12–2.17 (1H, m), 2.23–2.34 (2H, m), 3.30–3.42 (1H, m), 5.02 (2H, d, J=7.8 Hz), 5.08 (1H, S), 5.89–6.02 (1H, m).

1-(p-Chlorophenyl)-3-buten-1-ol (2c): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  2.16  $(1H, s)$ , 2.41-2.50  $(2H, m)$ , 4.71  $(1H, dd, J = 6.8 Hz)$ , 5.13-5.17  $(2H, m)$ , 5.73-5.80 (1H, m), 7.26–7.32 (4H, m).

1-(p-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-3-buten-1-ol (2d): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  2.21(1H, s), 2.57–2.43 (2H, m), 4.80 (1H, m), 5.18 (2H, m), 5.75–5.83 (1H, m), 7.47 (2H, d, J=8.2 Hz), 7.61 (2H, d, J =8.2 Hz).1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol (2e): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  2.13 (1H, br), 2.42-2.49 (1H, m), 2.54-2.60 (1H, m), 4.86–4.89 (1H, m), 5.17–5.22 (2H, m), 5.74–5.84 (1H, m), 7.54 (2H, d, J=8.8 Hz), 8.21 (2H, d, J=8.8 Hz).

1-(p-Methoxyphenyl)-3-buten-1-ol (2f): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  1.94  $(1\text{H}, \text{br s})$ ,  $2.50$   $(2\text{H}, \text{d}, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $3.81$   $(3\text{H}, \text{s})$ ,  $4.69$   $(1\text{H}, \text{t}, J = 6.3 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $5.11 - 5.18$  $(2H, m)$ , 5.80 (1H, m), 6.89 (2H, d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz), 7.27 (2H, d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz).1-(p-Methylphenyl)-3-buten-1-ol (2g):  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  2.01 (1H br s),  $2.\overline{33}$  ( $3H$ , s),  $2.48-2.51$  ( $2H$ , m),  $4.69$  ( $1H$ , t,  $J = 6.6$  Hz),  $5.11-5.17$  ( $2H$ , m), 5.76–5.81 (1H, m), 7.14 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.24 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz). Undec-1-en-4ol (2h): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  0.88 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz), 1.28 (12H, br s), 1.44–1.48 (2H, m), 1.84 (1H, s), 2.11–2.17 (1H, m, one proton of CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.27– 2.32 (1H, m, one proton of CH2), 3.62–3.66 (1H, m), 5.11–5.15 (2H, m), 5.79– 5.87 (1H, m).

1-Phenylpent-4-en-2-ol (2i): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  1.83 (1H, s),  $2.20 - 2.26$  (1H, m),  $2.30 - 2.35$  (1H, m),  $2.72$  (1H, dd,  $J = 13.6$  Hz),  $2.81$  (1H, dd,  $J = 4.0$  Hz),  $3.87$  (2H, m),  $5.13 - 5.18$  (2H, m),  $5.86$  (1H, m),  $7.21 - 7.26$  (3H, m), 7.29-7.34 (2H, m).1-(Furan-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol (2j): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  1.93–2.12 (1H, br), 2.61–2.66 (2H, m), 4.76 (1H, t, J = 6.1 Hz), 5.14–5.22  $(2H, m)$ , 5.74–5.84 (1H, m), 6.25 (1H, d, J = 2.6 Hz), 6.33 (1H, q, J = 2.6 Hz), 7.39  $(H, d, J = 1.5 Hz).$ 

- 
- 17. Ollevier, T.; Li, Z. Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007*, 5665.<br>18. Lingaiah, B. V.; Ezikiel, G.; Yakaiah, T.; Reddy, G. V.; Rao, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 4315.
- 19. (a) Jiang, N.; Hu, Q.; Reid, C. S.; Lu, Y.; Li, C. J. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2318; (b) Zhao, H.; Cai, M. Z. Chin. J. Chem. 2006, 24, 1669.